

LIELI 3508
PATENT**Remarks**

Please reconsider the application in view of the above amendments and the following remarks.

Objections

The examiner has objected to claims 9-12 because of informalities. Responsive to the objections, claims 9-12 have been amended in accordance with the examiner's suggestions as follows:

Claim 9 Line 11, change "for allowing the at least one reinforcing post" to -for allowing at least one reinforcing post-.

Claim 9 Line 13, change "at least one reinforcing post having" to -the at least one reinforcing post having-.

Claim 9 Line 14, change "having at least one engaging slit" to -having a pair of engaging slits-.

Claim 9 Line 20, change "the stationary post" to -at least one stationary post-.

Claim 9 Line 26, change "at least one second bolt" to -at least one bolt-.

Claim 10 Line 2, change "wherein the one end portion of the at least one reinforcing post has" to -wherein the pair of reinforcing slits is composed of a-.

Claim 11, rewrite it to -The post-removable construction of a door lock device according to claim 10, wherein the at least one stationary is formed on the body of the positioning plate-.

Claim 12 Line 2, change "wherein each of the reinforcing posts" to -wherein the at least one reinforcing post-.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §112

The Examiner has rejected claims 9-14 under 35 U.S.C. 112 as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant

LELI 3508
PATENT

regards as the invention.


Responsive to this rejection, Claim 9 has been amended in lines 13-17 from "at least one reinforcing post having two end portions with one end portion thereof having **at least one engaging slit** for engaging the engaging edge of the recess of the positioning plate and the engaging edge of the recess of the outer seat" to read: " at least one reinforcing post having two end portions with one end portion thereof having a **pair of engaging slits** for engaging the engaging edge of the recess of the positioning plate and the engaging edge of the recess of the outer seat".

The amended claim 9 clearly indicate a **pair of engaging slits** wherein one slit engages the engaging edge of the recess of the positioning plate and the other slit engages the engaging edge of the recess of the outer seat. Therefore the amended claim 9 can overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112 and is patentable. Since Claim 9 is now patentable, dependent claims 10-14 depending from claim 9 are also patentable.

LELI 3508
PATENT**Conclusion**

The claims 9-14 have been shown to be allowable under the U.S. patent law. Applicant believes that this paper is responsive to each and every ground of rejection or objection cited by the Examiner in the Action dated October 28, 2005, and respectfully requests favorable action in this application. The examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned, applicant's attorney of record, to facilitate advancement of the present application. It is believed that no fees are due in connection with Amendment A. If, however, the Commissioner determines that an additional fee is due, he is authorized to charge Deposit Account No. 19-1345.

Respectfully submitted,



William E. Lahey, Reg. No. 26,757
SENNIGER POWERS
One Metropolitan Square, 16th Floor
St. Louis, Missouri 63102
(314) 231-5400

WEL/lrw

Via Facsimile 517-273-8300